

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, reconsideration of the rejections contained in the Office Action of April 11, 2005 is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-2 and 7-8 were rejected as being anticipated by Park. Further, claims 3 and 9 were rejected as being unpatentable over Park in view of Official Notice. However, the Examiner also went on to indicate that the subject matter of claims 4-6 and 10-12 would be allowable if rewritten into independent form.

Accordingly, by the above, claims 4-6 and 10-12 have been redrafted into independent form, and the remaining claims have been canceled. Accordingly, all of the claims now pending in the application should clearly be in condition for allowance. Indication of such is respectfully requested.

In addition, a number of minor formal changes have been made to the specification and abstract for the sake of form and readability. Further, it is noted that the acceptance of the allowed subject matter should not be taken as acquiescence to or agreement with the positions taken by the Examiner with respect to the applicability of the cited prior art to the claims.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and the Examiner is requested to pass the case to issue. If the Examiner should have any comments or suggestions to help speed the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Tomoyasu AMANO

By: 

Nils E. Pedersen
Registration No. 33,145
Attorney for Applicant

NEP/krp
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
July 11, 2005